

PS6-Solution

Mehrdad Esfahani

Arizona State University

Fall 2016



Question 1

Let $p, q, r \in \mathcal{L}$ and $q \succeq p \succeq r$. R.T.P. that there is an $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ such that $\alpha q + (1 - \alpha)r \sim p$. Define:

$U(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i u(x_i) : q \succeq p \succeq r \Leftrightarrow U(q) \geq U(p) \geq U(r)$. If both inequalities are equalities, then any α will do the trick. Suppose one of the inequalities is strict, define:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= \frac{U(p) - U(r)}{U(q) - U(r)} \Rightarrow U(\alpha q + (1 - \alpha)r) = \alpha U(q) + (1 - \alpha)U(r) \\ &= U(p) \\ &\Rightarrow \alpha q + (1 - \alpha)r \sim p\end{aligned}$$

Question 1

Independence: R.T.P that for all $p, q, r \in \mathcal{L}$ and every $\alpha \in [0, 1] : q \succeq p \Leftrightarrow \alpha q + (1 - \alpha)r \succeq \alpha p + (1 - \alpha)r$.

$$\begin{aligned} U(\alpha q + (1 - \alpha)r) &= \sum (\alpha q_i + (1 - \alpha)r_i)u(x_i) \geq \\ &\sum (\alpha p_i + (1 - \alpha)r_i)u(x_i) \\ &= U(\alpha p + (1 - \alpha)r) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha q + (1 - \alpha)r \succeq \alpha p + (1 - \alpha)r$$

Question 1

”if” part is easy! For ”only if” part, suppose

$$p \succeq q \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n p_i u(x_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n q_i u(x_i) \text{ and}$$

$$p \succeq q \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n p_i v(x_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n q_i v(x_i) \quad \forall p, q \in \mathcal{L}.$$

R.T.P. that there are $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $b > 0$ and

$$v(x_i) = a + bu(x_i) \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

According to class notes, there are best and worst elements of $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and for all $p, q \in \mathcal{L}$: $e_n \succeq p \succeq e_1$. Since not all the elements of \mathcal{L} are indifferent, we have: $e_n \succ e_1$.

Define a and b as the solutions to the following system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} v(x_n) = a + bu(x_n) \\ v(x_1) = a + bu(x_1) \end{cases}$$

R.T.P that $\forall e_i : v(x_i) = a + bu(x_i)$

Question 1

By mixture continuity, there exists $\alpha : \alpha e_n + (1 - \alpha)e_1 \sim e_i$.

$$\begin{cases} U(\alpha e_n + (1 - \alpha)e_1) = U(e_i) \\ V(\alpha e_n + (1 - \alpha)e_1) = V(e_i) \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha u(x_n) + (1 - \alpha)u(x_1) = u(x_i) \\ \alpha v(x_n) + (1 - \alpha)v(x_1) = v(x_i) \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha \overbrace{[a + bu(x_n)]}^{v(x_n)} + (1 - \alpha) \overbrace{[a + bu(x_1)]}^{v(x_1)} = a + bu(x_i) \\ \alpha v(x_n) + (1 - \alpha)v(x_1) = v(x_i) \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow v(x_i) = a + bu(x_i).$$

Question 2

R.T.P that for all $p, q, r \in \mathcal{L}$ and every

$\alpha \in [0, 1] : q \succeq p \Leftrightarrow \alpha q + (1 - \alpha)r \succeq \alpha p + (1 - \alpha)r.$

”if part”: Suppose $q \succeq p$. If $\alpha = 0$, we should have $r \succeq r$.

Otherwise, there are two cases:

- $q_1 < p_1$: $\alpha q_1 + (1 - \alpha)r_1 < \alpha p_1 + (1 - \alpha)r_1 \Rightarrow$
 $\alpha q + (1 - \alpha)r \succeq \alpha p + (1 - \alpha)r$
- $q_1 = p_1$:
 $\alpha q_1 + (1 - \alpha)r_1 = \alpha p_1 + (1 - \alpha)r_1 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=2}^n q_i u(x_i) \geq$
 $\sum_{i=2}^n p_i u(x_i) \Rightarrow \sum_{i=2}^n (\alpha q_i + (1 - \alpha)r_i) u(x_i) \geq$
 $\sum_{i=2}^n (\alpha p_i + (1 - \alpha)r_i) u(x_i) \Rightarrow \alpha q + (1 - \alpha)r \succeq \alpha p + (1 - \alpha)r$

Question 2

"only if" part: Let $\alpha q + (1 - \alpha)r \succeq \alpha p + (1 - \alpha)r \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]$. If $\alpha = 1$, then $q \succeq p$ and we are done!

- $\alpha q_1 + (1 - \alpha)r_1 < \alpha p_1 + (1 - \alpha)r_1 \Rightarrow q_1 < p_1 \Rightarrow q \not\succeq p$
- $\alpha q_1 + (1 - \alpha)r_1 = \alpha p_1 + (1 - \alpha)r_1 \Rightarrow q_1 = p_1$ and
$$\sum_{i=2}^n (\alpha q_i + (1 - \alpha)r_i)u(x_i) \geq \sum_{i=2}^n (\alpha p_i + (1 - \alpha)r_i)u(x_i) \Rightarrow \sum_{i=2}^n q_i u(x_i) \geq \sum_{i=2}^n p_i u(x_i) \Rightarrow q \succeq p.$$

Question 2

Contraposition: Suppose there is an expected utility representation for \succeq and everything holds except if $q_1 < p_1 \Rightarrow q \succ p$. Since no two elements of \mathbb{X} are indifferent, we should have either $e_2 \succ e_3$ or $e_3 \succ e_2$. W.L.G suppose $e_3 \succ e_2$. If $e_2 \not\sim e_1$, then the above property does not hold and we are done. Now suppose $e_3 \succ e_2 \succ e_1 \Rightarrow u(x_3) > u(x_2) > u(x_1)$. Define

$$\alpha = \frac{u(x_2) - u(x_1)}{u(x_3) - u(x_1)} \Rightarrow u(x_2) = \alpha u(x_3) + (1 - \alpha)u(x_1)$$
$$\Rightarrow e_2 \sim \alpha e_1 + (1 - \alpha)e_3$$

which clearly violates "if $q_1 < p_1 \Rightarrow q \succ p$ ".

Question 2

When $n = 2$, there is an expected utility in the form of $u(x_1) = \gamma_1 < 0$ and $u(x_2) = \gamma_2 < 0$ with $|\gamma_1| > |\gamma_2|$.

This is because the condition $p_1 + p_2 = 1$ makes the preferences continuous.

Question 2

The independence axiom does not need mixture continuity, but we need it for utility representation. We have both in the case of $n = 2$, but not if $n \geq 3$.

Question 3

I skip the convexity proof. A good example is the following:
There are two states of the world $\{0, 1\}$ and two actions $\{0, 1\}$.
The utility over the actions and states is:

		State	
		0	1
p	0	1	0
	$1 - p$	1	0

$$u(p, 1 - p) = \max\{p, 1 - p\}$$

Question 3

Linearity: $a^*(p) \cap a^*(q) \neq \emptyset$.

”if part”: Suppose a^{**} is the common element:

$$\begin{aligned}U(\alpha p + (1 - \alpha)q) &= \alpha \sum p_i u_i(a^{**}) + (1 - \alpha) \sum q_i u_i(a^{**}) \\ &= \alpha U(p) + (1 - \alpha)U(q)\end{aligned}$$

”only if” part: Suppose $a^*(p) \cap a^*(q) = \emptyset$ and $a^*(\alpha)$ is the maximizer for $\alpha p + (1 - \alpha)q$:

$$\begin{aligned}U(\alpha p + (1 - \alpha)q) &= \alpha \sum p_i u_i(a^*(\alpha)) + (1 - \alpha) \sum q_i u_i(a^*(\alpha)) \\ &< \alpha U(p) + (1 - \alpha)U(q)\end{aligned}$$

which means $U(\cdot)$ is not linear.

Question 3

Here is an example why there might be a common element in the solution correspondence over lotteries and not all be the same. If the solution is the same over all lotteries, then they are all indifferent which is a special case for linearity.

Imagine a person who has to decide whether to work or not: $a \in \{0, 1\}$ where 0 means not working. The uncertainty is over the wages. Suppose wages are either 1 or 2: $w \in \{1, 2\}$. Also, suppose there exists the following lotteries: $p = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, $q = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$. The value of not working is 1.5. Clearly if the person is encountered with lottery p , she is indifferent between working or not and if she faces q , she chooses to work. The common solution is not working, i.e. $a = 0$.

Question 3

Strict convexity: $a^*(p) \cap a^*(q) = \emptyset$. The proof is similar to previous part so I skip it here.